UNITED STATES DISTRICT OF NO	EW YORK			
Loretta M. Shapiro,	Plaintiff(s),	08 Civ. 6538	(CM) (DCF)	
-against-				
New York University, et al,	Defendant(s),			
x				

ORDER SCHEDULING AN INITIAL PRETRIAL CONFERENCE

McMAHON, District Judge.

This action having been assigned to me for all purposes, it is hereby, ORDERED as follows:

- 1. Counsel receiving this order shall contact all counsel of record (or where a party is not yet represented, such party) and, if necessary, provide them with a copy of this order.
- 2. Counsel for all parties are directed to confer, complete and sign the attached "Civil Case Management Plan" and to fax it to the court within Thirty (30) Days. The parties are free to set their own deadlines as long as the plan provides for the completion of <u>all</u> discovery within six months of this order and the filing of a proposed "joint final-pretrial order," within forty-five days of the close of discovery. (The "trial ready" date is to be left blank.)

If a conforming case management plan is timely submitted, the parties need not appear for an initial conference. If the parties fail to agree upon such a plan or fail to submit the plan to the court within the time provided (thirty days from the date of this order), the parties must appear for a conference on 10/17/2008 in courtroom 21B, 500 Pearl Street, New York, New York 10007 at 10:30 a.m.

- 3. Any party desiring a conference with the Court for purposes of discussing settlement, narrowing of issues, or other pertinent pretrial matters may request a conference by faxing a letter to the court.
- 4. If a motion is filed prior to the conference date, the parties must attend the conference, even if counsel have agreed upon a case management plan. Upon request, the court will adjourn the conference until the motion is fully briefed.
- 5. Parties are to follow Judge McMahon's practice rules. To access a copy of the Judge's rules go to the court's website www.nysd.uscourts.gov and click on "Judge McMahon."
- 6. Judge McMahon's rules governing electronic discovery are automatically in force in this case. The Judge's rules on electronic discovery can be found on the court's website.

Dated: July 29, 2008

Colleen McMahon
U.S.D.J.

USDS SDNY
DOCUMENT
ELECTRONICALLY FILED
DOC #:
DATE FILED: 139 08

UNITED STATES DISTRICT		
Loretta M. Shapiro,		
	Plaintiff(s),	08 Civ. 6538 (CM) (DCF)
-against-		
New York University, e	t al,	
	Defendant(s).	
	>	(
•	<u>-</u> -	GEMENT PLAN A and ERISA benefits cases, curities Litigation Reform Act)
1. This case is/is	not to be tried to a jury.	
2. Discovery pu	rsuant to Fed.R.Civ.P. 26(a) shall be exchanged by
3. No additional	parties may be joined after	·
4. No pleading n	nay be amended after	
Supreme Court's observed discovery is conducted, immunity must comply	ation that the issue of qualicounsel representing any de	S.C. § 1983: In keeping with the United States fied immunity should be decided before efendant who intends to claim qualified set forth in Judge McMahon's individual
the right to move for jud		nalified immunity rules constitutes a waiver of alified immunity prior to trial. <i>Please identify munity grounds</i> .
	(For perso	, must be completed on or before nal injury, civil rights, employment
discrimination or medic	-	Plaintiff's deposition shall be taken first, and ASE NOTE: the phrase "all discovery

identities and opinions, as required by l	the parties must select and disclose their experts' Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)(B), well before the expiration of a conforming with Rule 26 must be made no later than the rt(s) by; Defendant(s)
· ·	verning electronic discovery apply automatically to this use rules unless they supercede it with a consent order.
for resolution of discovery disputes. D go directly to your assigned Magistrate of the discovery deadline or trial-ready the discovery deadline in non-pro se ca deadlines unless you agree to transfer a McMahon does not routinely grant extellast minute to bring discovery disputes	Ito the Hon. United States Magistrate
ŭ -	form prescribed in Judge McMahon's individual rules, ions required by those rules (not including in limine re Following submission of the joint

10. No motion for summary judgment may be served after the date the pre-trial order is due. The filing of a motion for summary judgment does not relieve the parties of the obligation to file the pre-trial order and other pre-trial submissions on the assigned date.

pre-trial order, counsel will be notified of the date of the final pre-trial conference. *In limine* motions must be filed within five days of receiving notice of the final pre-trial conference;

for trial at any time following the final pre-trial conference.

responses to in limine motions are due five days after the motions are made. Cases may be called

11. The parties may at any time consent to have this case tried before the assigned Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 636(c).

12. This scheduling order may be altered or amended only on a showing of good cause

that is not foreseeable at the time this order extensions will be granted as a matter of rot	
Dated: New York, New York	
Upon consent of the parties: [signatures of all counsel]	
	SO ORDERED:
	Hon. Colleen McMahon United States District Judge